

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

MARC VEASEY, JANE HAMILTON,)
 SERGIO DELEON, FLOYD J. CARRIER,)
 ANNA BURNS, MICHAEL MONTEZ,)
 PENNY POPE, OSCAR ORTIZ, KOBY)
 OZIAS, JOHN MELLOR-CRUMLEY, PEGGY)
 HERMAN, EVELYN BRICKNER, GORDON)
 BENJAMIN, KEN GANDY, LEAGUE OF)
 UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS)
 (LULAC), AND DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS,)

Plaintiffs,

v.

RICK PERRY, Governor of Texas; and JOHN)
 STEEN, Texas Secretary of State,)

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.)
 2:13-CV-193 (NGR))
 [Lead case]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Plaintiffs,

TEXAS LEAGUE OF YOUNG VOTERS)
 EDUCATION FUND, IMANI CLARK, AND)
 MICHELLE BESSIAKE,)

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC)
 COUNTY JUDGES AND COUNTY)
 COMMISSIONERS, HIDALGO COUNTY,)
 AND MARIA LONGORIA BENAVIDES,)

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, JOHN STEEN, in his)
 official capacity as Texas Secretary of State;)
 and STEVE McCRAW, in his official capacity)
 as Director of the Texas Department of Public)
 Safety,)

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.)
 2:13-CV-263 (NGR))
 [Consolidated case]

TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP)	
BRANCHES; and the MEXICAN)	
AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS OF)	
THE TEXAS HOUSE OF)	
REPRESENTATIVES,)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
v.)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
JOHN STEEN, in his official capacity as)	2:13-CV-291 (NGR)
Secretary of State of Texas; and STEVE)	[Consolidated case]
McCRAW, in his official capacity as Director)	
of the Texas Department of Public Safety,)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	
<hr/>		
BELINDA ORTIZ, LENARD TAYLOR,)	
EULALIO MENDEZ JR., LIONEL)	
ESTRADA; ESTELA GARCIA ESPINOSA,)	
LYDIA LARA, MARGARITO MARTINEZ)	
LARA, MAXIMINA MARTINEZ LARA, AND)	
<i>LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, INC.</i>)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
v.)	
)	
STATE OF TEXAS, JOHN STEEN, in his)	
official capacity as Texas Secretary of State;)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
and STEVE McCRAW, in his official capacity)	2:13-CV-348 (NGR)
as Director of the Texas Department of Public)	[Consolidated case]
Safety,)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	
<hr/>		

[Proposed] ORDER

Having reviewed the United States' Request for Judicial Notice (ECF No. 252), and opposition thereto filed by the Defendants (ECF No. ____), the Court finds that the Request should be, and hereby is, **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b)(2), the Court takes judicial notice of Exhibits 2–6 (ECF Nos. 252.3–252.7) to the United States’ Request.

SO ORDERED.

Date: _____, 2014

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
United States District Judge